UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,978	10/29/2003	Edmund O. Schweitzer III		8010
Cook Alex McFarron Manzo Cummings & Mehler, LTD. 200 West Adams Street Suite 2850 Chicago, IL 60606			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, LUCY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2836	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/695,978	SCHWEITZER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lucy Thomas	2836	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 🕅 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed. may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below): (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🗌 will not be entered, or b) 🖾 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-7, 14-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9.

The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that the Guzman-Casillas reference does not teach a filter responsive to said quantity (m-value) for filtering said quantity. Figure 9, steps 136, 138 of Guzman-Casillas reference, is a time delay element, which can be implemented using a suitable digital filter or using an RC filter. Time delay circuits serves as a filter to block transient spikes or noise in the signal. Guzman-Casillas also teaches at 140, a smoothness determination, which is a filter, and is an additional step if CCVT transients are present. Therefore, Guzman-Casillas reference discloses a filter as recited in Claim.

The Applicant argues that the Guzman-Casillas reference does not teach a control circuit for controlling the application of the filtered quantity to the distance element. Figure 9, steps 130, 134 of Guzman-Casillas reference is a control circuit which compares the m value to set values ZR1NEW and ZR1, and determines whether the filtered m value (YES output from 138) or unfiltered m value (YES output of 130) is sent to the distance element 132. It is noted that the distance element 20 of the invention could be an electromechanical element or it could be implemented in a microprocessor digital relay (see Paragraph 15, lines 12-14). The YES output from 130 (unfiltered m-value) and YES output from 138 (filtered m-value), and YES output from 140 are sent to the distance element 132 of Guzman-Casillas reference. Therefore, Guzman-Casillas reference discloses control circuit for controlling the application of the filtered quantity to the distance element.

In Column 9, line 61-Column 10, line 2, Guzman-Casillas discloses "for any faults which are determined to within this instantaneous zone (i.e., close-in faults), tripping occurs immediately, without delay, because overreaching is not a problem for such faults, while for those faults which are within the difference between the maximum instantaneous zone 1 reach and original zone 1 reach, both a time-delay and an m-smoothness determinations are used to determine when a trip signal from the zone 1 element should be produced."

MICHAEL SHERRY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800